## CHECKLIST FOR ACADEMIC WRITING

by Inga Sjödin and Margareta Olofsson

## Begin with the content

- 1 Read the topic sentences only in the text do you get a coherent "story"?
- 2 Does the text give a clear and logical message, developed with well-based arguments?
- 3 Does the text do what the title and the introduction promise? Is there a clear conclusion?
- 4 How well has the writer followed the task instructions?
- 5 Is the text easy to read, or do you stop, hesitate, and have to reread or wonder (leaps of thought)?

	Good scientific style	Common problems	
Overall	The content is systematically collected	The content is not presented	
organization,	following the principle of going form general to	systematically	
sections,	particular. Paragraphs begin with a topic	Paragraphs are not clearly	
paragraphs,	sentence that present the paragraph topic	structured. The connection	
sentences		between paragraphs is unclear.	
		Topic sentences are missing	
Coherence	Information structure : sentences follow the	Illogical information structure	
	theme –rheme (given-new) sequence	confuses the reader	
	There are linkers which connect the sentences	More linkers are needed	
	Some linking words and phrases signal the text	The text is hard to rad because	
	organization or make the content more	facts are piled on one another	
	accessible: Similar conclusions can be drawn	and there are not enough	
	from and explain how ideas relate to open	linguistic signals of the	
	another: <i>Unlike X, Y has</i>	function, purpose, and	
		relationships of the information	
Referencing	Summaries of sources:	summaries	
	(a) are relevant to the aim and the question	(a) Have unclear purposes	
	asked, i.e. they take the discussion forward and		
	support its argumentation		
	(b) have been formulated in the writers' own words	(b) Are too close to the sources	
	(c) are marked linguistically as citations, where	(c) Lack appropriate marking	
	appropriate (according to suggested that)	(d) Consist of facts piled up	
	(d) are integrated into their context	without clear inter relations	
	(e) have complete and correct references to	(e) Have missing or	
	sources following the system required	incomplete references	
Concentration	The text is in formal written English with dense	The language is colloquial and	
	construction	loose	
Precision	The exact word for the relation or concept	Words do not mean exactly	
	intended is used, the reader does not have to	what is intended	
	rely on the context. (process, parameter,		
	phenomenon)		
Correctness	Sentences are complete, grammar is correct,	Parts of sentences are missing,	
	punctuation follows rules	there are grammar errors, there	
		are mis-spellings	

## **Coherence creating transition signals**

Meaning/Function	Adverbs/	Conjunctions/	Others
	Phrases	subordinators	
1. To introduce an	in addition	and	another X
additional idea	furthermore		an additional X
	moreover		
2. To introduce an	on the other	but, although	in spite of X
opposite idea or	hand	even though	despite X
contrast	in contrast	whereas	
	however	while	
	nevertheless	[though]	
	nonetheless		
3. To introduce a	otherwise	or	
choice or		If, unless	
alternative			
4. To introduce a	in fact		
restatement or	indeed		
explanation	that is, i.e.		
5. то list in order	first, second,		the first,
	third		second,
	next, last, finally		the next, last,
			final
6. To introduce an	for example		an example of X
example	for instance, e.g.		such as X
7. To introduce a	clearly		
conclusion or	in conclusion		
summary	indeed, in short		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in summary		
8. To introduce a	as a result/	so	result from
result or cause	consequence (of)	because, since,	be the result of
	therefore	as	due to
	consequently		because of
	hence, thus		the
			cause/effect/
			consequence of