

ID2203 - Distributed Systems, Advanced Course Exam Preparation

- Harald Ng, Max Meldrum Assistant:
- Course leader: Paris Carbone
 - {hng, mmeldrum}@<u>kth.se</u>



- project
 - 30P Multiple Choice Questions
 - 20P Reasoning Questions
- 2h total time
- One attempt on Canvas

Exam Structure

2 Sections, 50P total + quizzes, programming exercises, and



are true?

(a) v(a) < v(b) implies that t(a) < t(b)

(b) t(a) < t(b) implies that v(a) < v(b)

(c) v(a) < v(b) implies that $\neg(t(b) < t(a))$

(d) t(a) < t(b) implies that $v(a) \le v(b)$

- Let v(e) denote the vector clock of event e, and t(e) denote the Lamport logical clock of event e. Which of the following statements



are true?

(a) v(a) < v(b) implies that t(a) < t(b)

(b) t(a) < t(b) implies that v(a) < v(b)

(c) v(a) < v(b) implies that $\neg(t(b) < t(a))$

(d) t(a) < t(b) implies that $v(a) \le v(b)$

- Let v(e) denote the vector clock of event e, and t(e) denote the Lamport logical clock of event e. Which of the following statements



are true?

(a) v(a) < y(b) implies that t(a) < t(b)(b) t(a) < t(b) implies that v(a) < v(b)(c) v(a) < v(b) implies that $\neg(t(b) < t(a))$

(d) t(a) < t(b) implies that $v(a) \le v(b)$

- Let v(e) denote the vector clock of event e, and t(e) denote the Lamport logical clock of event e. Which of the following statements



are true?

((a))v(a) < v(b) implies that t(a) < t(b)(b) t(a) < t(b) implies that v(a) < v(b)(c) v(a) < v(b) implies that $\neg(t(b) < t(a))$ (d) t(a) < t(b) implies that $v(a) \le v(b)$

Let v(e) denote the vector clock of event e, and t(e) denote the Lamport logical clock of event e. Which of the following statements

> +1/2P-1/2P-1/2P+1/2P



- The MCQ part of the exam is subdivided into multiple subsections (e.g., Basic Abstractions & Failure Detector)
- Each section has an associated point total (2P/Question)
- Section point total with will be max(0, s), where s is simply the sum of all individual questions within the section
- This means negative points do not carry across sections!

MCQ Point Total



What to learn?

- All of the formal definitions
- All of the system/failure models
- All of the abstractions (their properties)
- Relationships between the abstractions (reductions)
- The high level mechanisms that make the algorithms work, e.g.
 - Read-Impose mechanism
 - Paxos invariants
 - log reconciliation in Raft



What not to learn?

Correctness Proofs for the algorithms

- Though it might help you learn the mechanisms to read them again
- Pseudocode for the algorithms
 - You'll be given that in the exam, if required



Exercise I

Does the following statement satisfy the synchronous-computation assumption?

On my server, no request ever takes more than 1 week to be processed.



Exercise 2

In a fail-stop model, mark the following properties as safety or liveness.

- 1. every process that crashes is eventually detected
- 2. no process is detected before it crashes
- 3. no two processes decide differently
- 4. no two correct processes decide differently
- 5. every correct process decides before t time units
- 6. if some correct process decides then every correct process decides.



Exercise 3

Why do we need partial synchrony in Paxos? Which property of Uniform Consensus cannot be achieved if Paxos is used in an asynchronous model?

Uniform Consensus Properties:

(1) Termination: Every correct process eventually decides on some value

(2) Validity: If a process decides v, then v was proposed by some process

(3) Integrity: No Process decides twice

(4) Uniform agreement: No two processes decide differently



Exercise 4

majority of correct processes?

Can we devise a uniform reliable broadcast algorithm with an eventually perfect failure detector but without assuming a



Exercise 5a

Suppose an algorithm A implements a distributed programming abstraction M using a failure detector D that is assumed to be eventually perfect. Can A violate a safety property of M if D is not eventually perfect, for example, when D permanently outputs the empty set?



Exercise 5b

Suppose an algorithm A implements a distributed programming abstraction M using a failure detector D that is assumed to be eventually perfect. Can A violate a safety property of M if D is not eventually perfect, for example, when D permanently outputs the empty set?

Now, what about a liveness property?