

Advanced Course Distributed Systems

Reliable Broadcast



Paris Carbone

COURSE TOPICS



- Intro to Distributed Systems
- Basic Abstractions and Failure Detectors
- Reliable and Causal Order Broadcast
- Distributed Shared Memory
- Consensus (Paxos, Raft, etc.)
- Dynamic Reconfiguration
- ▶ Time Abstractions and Interval Clocks (Spanner etc.)
- Consistent Snapshotting (Stream Data Management)
- Distributed ACID Transactions (Cloud DBs)





Quorums

QUORUMS

- For N crash-stop processes
- Quorum is any set of majority of processes
- i.e., a set with at least [N/2] +1 processes

- The algorithms will rely on a majority of processes will not fail
 - f < N/2 (f is the max number of faulty processes)
- f is the resilience of the algorithm



QUORUMS CRASH-STOP/RECOVERY MODEL -F < N/2

Two quorums always intersect in at least ONE process







QUORUMS CRASH-STOP/RECOVERY MODEL -F < N/2

There is at least ONE quorum with only correct processes







QUORUMS CRASH-STOP/RECOVERY MODEL -F < N/2

There is at least ONE correct process in each quorum







QUORUMS

Quorums used in Fail-Silent and Fail-Noisy algorithms A process never waits for messages from more than $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1$ (different) processes





LET'S DEFINE OUR BUNDLES





9

LET'S MAKE SOME BUNDLES





THE FAIL-STOP



• How we work with it

- Local algorithms can track the set of correct processes at any time.
- Without violating liveness properties: use
 - Request/Reply protocols.
 - Wait for **correct** processes to reply.



THE FAIL-SILENT



• How we work with it

- Failure detection is <u>impossible</u>.
- Correctness assumptions: a majority of processes are always correct.
- Protocols work with majority quorums.
 - Expect at least $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$ responses.



THE FAIL-NOISY



• Key ideas:

- To guarantee safety properties any algorithm has to assume the failure detector can be **inaccurate**.
- Eventual strong accuracy is only used to guarantee **liveness**.

Quorum-based ideas also apply here.



A FAIL-RECOVERY BUNDLE



• Key ideas:

- Relies often on a **persistent memory** to store and retrieve critical information
- After recovery a process may contact other process to retrieve up to date state information
- Some algorithms relax the reliability conditions on channels allowing message loss/ duplication/reordering





Broadcast Abstractions

BROADCAST SERVICES

Send a message to a group of processes





UNRELIABLE BROADCAST







RELIABLE BROADCAST ABSTRACTIONS

- Best-effort broadcast
 - Guarantees reliability only if sender is correct
- Reliable broadcast
 - Guarantees reliability independent of whether sender is correct
- Uniform reliable broadcast
 - Also considers behaviour of failed nodes
- FIFO reliable broadcast
 - Reliable broadcast with FIFO delivery order
- Causal reliable broadcast
 - Reliable broadcast with causal delivery order



RELIABLE BROADCAST ABSTRACTIONS

- Probabilistic reliable broadcast
 - Guarantees reliability with high probability
 - Scales to large number of nodes
- Total order (atomic) reliable broadcast
 - Guarantees reliability and same order of delivery





Specification of Broadcast Abstractions

BEST-EFFORT BROADCAST (BEB)

- Instance beb
- Events
 - Request: (beb Broadcast | m)
 - Indication: (beb Deliver | src, m)
- Properties: BEB1, BEB2, BEB3



Best-effort broadcast (beb)

• Intuitively: everything perfect unless sender crash

- Properties
 - *BEB1. Best-effort-Validity*: If p_i and p_j are correct, then any broadcast by p_i is eventually delivered by p_i
 - BEB2. No duplication: No message delivered more than once
 - **BEB3.** No creation: No message delivered unless broadcast



BEB EXAMPLE













Reliable Broadcast

- BEB gives no guarantees if sender crashes
 - Strengthen to give guarantees if sender crashes
- Reliable Broadcast Intuition
 - Same as BEB, plus
 - If sender crashes:
 - ensure all or none of the correct nodes get msg



RELIABLE BROADCAST (RB)

Instance rb

Events

Request: (rb Broadcast | m) Indication: (rb Deliver | src, m)

Properties: RB1, RB2, RB3, RB4



Reliable Broadcast Properties

• Properties

- RB1 = BEB1. Validity
- RB2 = BEB2. No duplication
- RB3 = BEB3. No creation
- RB4. Agreement.
 - If a **correct process delivers** m, then every correct process delivers m



REFINING CORRECTNESS

Can weaken RB1 without any effect

```
Old Validity ←equivalent with→ New Validity
```

RB1 = BEB1 Validity

- If p_i and p_j are correct, then any broadcast by p_i is eventually delivered by p_i
- RB2 = BEB2. No duplication
- RB3 = BEB3. No creation

RB4. Agreement.

If a correct node delivers m, then every correct node delivers m

RB1 Validity.

- If correct p_i broadcasts m, p_i itself eventually delivers m
- RB2 = BEB2. No duplication
- RB3 = BEB3. No creation

RB4. Agreement.

If a correct node delivers m, then every correct process delivers m



















UNIFORM RELIABLE BROADCAST

- Assume sender broadcasts message
 - Sender fails
 - No correct process delivers message
 - Some failed processes deliver message
- Assume the broadcast enforces
 - Printing a message on paper
 - Withdrawing money from account
- Uniform reliable broadcast intuition
 - If a failed node delivers, everyone must deliver...
 - At least correct nodes, we cannot revive the dead...



UNIFORM BROADCAST (URB)

Events

Request: (urb Broadcast | m) Indication: (urb Deliver | src, m)

Properties: URB1 URB2 URB3 URB4



UNIFORM BROADCAST PROPERTIES

Properties Wanted: Dead & Alive! URB1 = RB1.URB2 = RB2.URB3 = RB3.**URB4. Uniform Agreement:** For any message m, if a process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m





Broadcast Abstractions



Implementation of Broadcast

Abstractions

IMPLEMENTING BEB

- Use Perfect channel abstraction
 - Upon (beb Broadcast | m) send message m to all processes (for-loop)
- Correctness
 - If sender doesn't crash, every other correct process receives message by perfect channels (Validity)
 - No creation & No duplication already guaranteed by perfect channels





Fail-Stop Lazy Reliable Broadcast

FAIL-STOP: LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST

- Requires perfect failure detector (P)
- To broadcast m:
 - best-effort broadcast m
 - When get **beb** Deliver
 - Save message, and
 - **rb** Deliver message
- If sender s crash, detect & relay msgs from s to all
 case 1: get m from s, detect crash s, redistribute m
 - case 2: detect crash s, get m from s, redistribute m
- Filter duplicate messages before delivery



FAIL-STOP: LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST

If sender s crashes, detect & relay msgs from s to all case 1: get m from s, detect crash s, redistribute m case 2: detect crash s, get m from s, redistribute m Why case 2? [d]



LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST

Case 2





FAIL-STOP LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST





LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST





LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST (2)









CORRECTNESS OF LAZY RB

- RB1-RB3 satisfied by BEB
- Need to prove *RB4*
 - If a correct node delivers m, then every correct node delivers m
- Assume Correct p_k delivers message bcast by p_i
 - If p_i is correct, BEB ensures correct delivery
 - If p_i crashes,
 - p_k detects this (completeness)
 - p_k uses BEB to ensure (BEB1) every correct node gets it





Measuring Performance

MESSAGE COMPLEXITY

• The number of messages required to terminate an operation of an abstraction

- Lazy reliable broadcast
 - The number of messages initiated by broadcast(m)
 - Until a deliver(src, m) event is issued at each process
- Bit complexity
 - Number of bits sent, if messages can vary in size



TIME COMPLEXITY $\sim #ROUNDS$

- One time unit in an Execution E is the longest message delay in E
- **Time Complexity is** Maximum time taken by any execution of the algorithm under the assumptions
 - A process can execute any finite number of actions (events) in **zero** time
 - The time between send(m)_{i,j} and deliver(m)_{i,j} is **at most one** time unit
- In most algorithms we study we assume all communication steps takes one time unit. We also call this a **round or step.**



Best effort broadcast

Takes one time unit from broadcast(m)_p to last deliver(p,m)

We also call it one communication step / round.





COMPLEXITY OF LAZY RELIABLE BROADCAST

- Assume N processes
- Message complexity
 - Best case: O(N) messages
 - Worst case: O(N²) messages
- Time complexity
 - Best case: 1 time unit
 - Worst case: 2 time units





Fail-Silent

Eager Reliable Broadcast

EAGER RELIABLE BROADCAST

What happens if we replace P with $\langle P ? [d] \rangle$

- Only affects performance
- Only affects correctness
- No effect
- Affects performance and correctness



EAGER RELIABLE BROADCAST

Can we modify Lazy RB to not use P? [d] Just assume all processes failed BEB Broadcast as soon as you get a msg



EAGER RELIABLE BROADCAST

Uses: BestEffortBroadcast (beb)

upon event $\langle Init \rangle$ do

delivered := \emptyset

upon event (rb Broadcast | m) **do**

3ID2203

CORRECTNESS OF EAGER RB

- **RB1-RB3** satisfied by BEB
- Need to prove **RB4**
 - If a **correct process delivers** m, then every correct node delivers m

- Assume correct p_k delivers message bcast by p_i
 - p_k uses BEB to ensure (BEB1) every correct process gets it





Uniform Reliable Broadcast

UNIFORMITY

• Is the proposed algorithm also uniform? [d]

- Uniformity necessitates
 - . If a **failed process** delivers a message m
 - then every correct node delivers m



UNIFORMITY

- No.
 - Sender p immediately RB delivers and crashes
 - Only p delivered message
- upon event (rb Broadcast | m) do
 - delivered := delivered $\cup \{m\}$
 - trigger (rb Deliver | self , m)
 - trigger (beb Broadcast | (DATA, self, m))



UNIFORM EAGER RB

- Necessary condition for uniform RB delivery
 - All correct processes will get the msg
 - How do we know the correct processes got msg? [d]
- Messages are pending until all correct processes get it
 - Collect acks from processes that got msg
- Deliver once all correct processes acked
 - Use perfect FD
 - function canDeliver(m):
 - return correct ⊆ ack[m]

Use vector **ack[m]** at p_i: the set of processes that acked m



UNIFORM EAGER RB IMPLEMENTATION





URB EAGER ALGORITHM EXAMPLE





CORRECTNESS OF UNIFORM RB

- No creation from BEB
- No duplication by using **delivered** set
- Lemma
 - If a **correct** process p_i bebDelivers m, then p_i eventually urbDelivers m
- Proof
 - Correct process p_i bebBroadcasts m as soon as it gets m
 - By BEB1 every correct process gets m and bebBroadcasts m
 - p_i gets bebDeliver(m) from every correct process by BEB1
 - By completeness of **P**, it will not wait for dead nodes forever
 - **canDeliver(m)** becomes true and p_i delivers m



CORRECTNESS OF UNIFORM RB

Validity

If sender s is correct, it'll by validity (BEB1) bebDeliver m

By the lemma, it will eventually urbDeliver(m)



CORRECTNESS OF UNIFORM RB

- Uniform agreement
 - Assume some process (possibly failed) URB delivers m
 - Then canDeliver(m) was true,

by **accuracy** of P **every** correct process has BEB delivered m

By lemma each of the nodes that BEB delivered m will URB deliver m





Uniform Broadcast

Fail-Silent

HOW USEFUL IS THE UNIFORM ALGORITHM?

- Strong failure detectors necessary for URB?
 - No, we'll provide RB for fail-silent model

- Assume a majority of correct nodes
 - Majority = $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, i.e. 6 of 11, 7 of 12...
- Every node eagerly BEB broadcast m
 - URB deliver m when received m from a majority



MAJORITY-ACK UNIFORM RB

- Same algorithm as uniform eager RB
 - Replace one function
 - **function** canDeliver(m)
 - return |ack[m]|>n/2 •
 - Agreement (main idea)
 - If a process URB delivers, it got ack from majority
 - In that majority, one node, p, must be correct
 - p will ensure all correct processes BEB deliver m
 - The correct processes (majority) will ack and URB deliver



majority has acknowledged m

MAJORITY-ACK UNIFORM RB

Validity

If correct sender sends m All correct nodes BEB deliver m All correct nodes BEB broadcast Sender receives a majority of acks Sender URB delivers m



RESILIENCE

- The maximum number of faulty processes an algorithm can handle
- The Fail-Silence algorithm
 - Has resilience less than N/2
- The Fail-Stop algorithm
 - Has resilience = N 1

