DD2410

Lecture slides Navigation





- Where am I?
 - See Localization, and Mapping and SLAM
- Where do I need to go?
 - High level reasoning not covered in this course
- How do I get there?
 - Global planning (see **Planning**)
 - Local planning (focus here)

- Control is often decomposed into high level functions (often called behaviors or skills)
 - wall following
 - exploration
 - door traversal, . . .
- Three parts of "how do I get there"
 - Global motion planning (deliberate)
 - Obstacle avoidance / local planning (reactive)
 - The low level control of the motion

Global motion planning

- Typical assumption: there is an adequate model/map of the environment for navigation
 - the model could be topological, metric or a mixture
- Steps:
 - Generate a representation of the map for planning
 - Populate the map with a "distance metric"
 - Perform search from the present configuration to the goal configuration

Typical map representation

- Occupancy grids
- Easy to implement and plan in

Patric Jensfelt

 Explicitly represents both obstacles and free space (a landmark map does not!)



Some practical issues with grid based planning

- Path close to obstacles
- Generated paths are aligned to the grid and often not smooth



Too close to obstacles

- Smooth the grid with, e.g., a Gaussian kernel
 - Adds costs to be near obstacles (but does not make it impossible to be there
 - \rightarrow Increases clearance

Example map smoothing



Patric Jensfelt

Example map smoothing

Only showing smoothing of part of the map



Patric Jensfelt

Path smoothing

- Notice how the path is not at all smooth
- Following the path like this would result many short segments with sharp turns in between and would take a lot of time
- Two ideas
 - Look for direct connection between nodes without collision
 - Modify initial path with nonlinear minimization



















Patric Jensfelt









Controlling the robot to follow a path

- Finding the path is only the first step
- Need to follow it
- Rich literature on this topic
- Basic algorithm: Pure pursuit

Circle radius defines "look ahead distance" Steer to the intersection between circle and path





Obstacle avoidance

- In general the environment is not fully known or modeled
- The environment might be dynamically changing
 - \rightarrow Need to be able modify the plan online
- Many algorithms
 - from re-planning (using planning methods from before) to reactive strategies

Obstacle avoidance

- Obstacle avoidance relies on
 - Information about the goal position
 - Robot position
 - Recent sensory information (typically a local map)
 - NOT the entire map!
- Why use a local map and not just the last sensor reading which should be the most up to date?

Potential field method

- Think of the robot as a particle in a potential field U(x)
- The goal serves as an attractor
- Obstacles gives repulsive forces
- With a differential potential field the force is given by
- F(x) = -grad(U(x))
- Basic idea: Robot is attracted towards the goal and repulsed by the obstacles

Potential field example





Robot rolls like a ball towards the goal.

Patric Jensfelt

Examples





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K9nU8xIiIMI

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UVUTcZisA94



Potential field characteristics

- Pros:
 - Easy to implement
 - Applicable to many problems (arms, multirobot, etc)
 - Mathematically appealing
- Cons:
 - Motion often not smooth
 - Often leads to oscillating motion
 - Parameter tuning problems
 - Some local minima issues

Vector field histogram (VFH)

- Calculate "obstacle forces" similar to potential field
- Generate polar histogram by adding forces in sectors
- Threshold to get binary diagram

Patric Jensfelt

- Find passable directions
- Select best direction







Θ





Dynamic window approach (DWA)

- Consider velocity space (v, ω)
- Search among velocities in "reachable" window around current velocity given dynamic constraints
- Optimize over heading, speed and distance to obstacles to ensure fast and safe motion



Dynamic window approach (DWA)



Patric Jensfelt

Obstacle avoidance in tele-op





Local map

- Accumulates information from the nearby surrounding of the robot
- Typically an occupancy grid (in 2D or 3D)
- Example below: Without the local map we would probably run into the chair



Patric Jensfelt



Local map: The world is dynamic, deal with it!



Patric Jensfelt

The robot is at pose 1 and move to goal 1. It seen the human in the door.

At goal 1 it is given a new target, goal 2. The sensor no longer sees the door opening but the map says that it is closed.

We are stuck!

DD2410

Image by Lukas Gratwohl

Trade-off in local mapping

- Trade-off between i) remembering what we no longer see and ii) not being able to get rid of stuff that is not there anymore
 - Type I: the chair (2 slides ago)
 - Type II: an outlier from the sensor causes the robot to get stuck, a dynamic obstacle (human in previous slide)

Local mapping

- Need to have some mechanism for obstacles to go away.
 Some approaches:
 - Let the sensor both add and remove information.
 - Add obstacles at the distance that the sensor registers the obstacle but clear the map in front of that. Good in that you only remove when your sensor tells you it is safe to remove, but you need to look at the area and you might never go there because planning says there is no point.
 - Add forgetting to the map.
 - The obstacle "probability" decreases with time. Good because you do not need to look at the area for problems to "go away", but bad because you might remove correct information and you risk repeating the same mistake over and over again. "Cool, let me try to go over here. Shit that was not possible [now either]"

Stay safe

- Typically need an even more reactive layer at the bottom
 - Global motion planning
 - Reactive
 - Obstacle avoidance
 - Emergency stop (reduce damage)

Example E-Stop



Social navigation

- The motion of the robot = body language
 - Need to communicate safety
- Read body language of other agents
 - Predict motion of people

Lecture

Fast, predictable and safe motion





Example: Social navigation





Example: Social navigation



Patric Jensfelt

Example: Social navigation





Proxemics

CULTURAL PROXEMICS PERSONAL SPACE

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40FAm-VHATw

Patric Jensfelt

Proxemics

Personal Space



"An area with an invisible boundary surrounding a person's body into which intruders may not come"

(Sommer, 1969)

DD2410



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40FAm-VHATw

System use cases

Case study: Harry Plotter and friends

Obstacle avoidance hard? Local map? Main challenges?



Patric Jensfelt

P. Jensfelt, E. Förell and P. Ljunggren,"Automating the Marking Process for Exhibitions and Fairs",Robotics and Autonomous Magazine, 14:3, 2007





RCab300

Deliver goods within a hospital

Patric Jensfelt

Challenges?



Healthcare Logistics Solutions

Our solutions include autonomous transportation of both small goods, e.g. medications, test samples and blood products as well as pulling standard carts with e.g. linen, liquids, food, and sterilized goods. The RCab300 can further assist in pulling beds and providing guiding services.





Prototype



https://unibap.com/product/healthcare-logistics-solutions/

H2020 Project "CENTAURO"





Patric Jensfelt

Going beyond free/occupied





Lecture

Why terrain classification?

Patric Jensfelt

Lecture

51

Autonomous navigation!

Patric Jensfelt

Our robot and sensor configuration

- Vehicle: iRobot ATRV
 - Four wheels, skid-steering
- Lidar: Velodyne VLP-16
 - 16 rotating laser beams
 - 360° horizontal FOV
 - 30° vertical FOV
- Camera: Microsoft Kinect v2
 - RGB at 540 x 960 resolution





Target environment



- Six unique locations
- Summer and winter conditions
- Urban, semi-structured, and offroad
- Collected point clouds and images
 - 200m trajectory
 - 10 equidistant samples
- Classes:
 - Road, sidewalk, ground
 - Vegetation, grass
 - Vehicles, people, buildings
 - Also: snow / ice, stone / rock

Patric Jensfelt

Geometric features from registered point clouds

- Three features: height difference, slope, and roughness
- For every terrain patch, compute:
 - Height difference: easy
 - **Slope**: fit plane to points in terrain patch and use coefficients
 - Roughness: apply Difference of Normals* operator at multiple radii





The baseline model suffers from urban biases





- Trained on CityScapes* dataset
 - Geared towards autonomous navigation
 - Has almost all classes we need (34 total)
 - ~3,000 training, 500 validation images
- Severe road bias
 - Also hood bias in earlier model!
- Oblivious to **snow** class

Patric Jensfelt D

Data augmentation strategy to overcome biases





(exaggerated adjustment)

- Re-train on CityScapes only
- Downsample to 512 x 1024 (factor 2)
- Crop 256 x 512 patch from lower image
 - Not interested in upper regions, i.e. building, sky, etc.
- Random adjustments of...
 - Brightness
 - Contrast
 - Saturation

Patric Jensfelt

Fine-tuning strategy for environmental adaptation



Campus



- Train on CityScapes and campus mixed
 - Source to target dataset ratio 9:1
- Repurpose unused classes
 - caravan for snow
 - trailer for stone
- Keep augmentation scheme
- Reduce learning rate by factor 10

Patric Jensfelt DD2410

58

Data augmentation and fine-tuning alleviates biases





- Learned to recognize
 snow
- All other biases gone
- Problems with motion
 blur

Quantitative results for visual segmentation



- Performance jumps!
- Baseline model
- Worst performance
- Urban biases!
- Augmented model
- On par with CityScapes performance
- Oblivious to snow
- Fine-tuned model
- Tops CityScapes performance
- Suitable for autonomous navigation!

Architecture





Qualitative results for vision, geometry, and fusion



H2020 Project "CENTAURO"





Patric Jensfelt

H2020 Project "CENTAURO"







H2020 Project "CENTAURO"





Road marking

Challenges?





Project "STRANDS"

Goal: Long-term autonomy (100 days)

Patric Jensfelt

Challenges?

