# Privacy-Enhancing Technologies HT21





Sonja Buchegger, buc@kth.se



#### Overview of this lecture

- How the course works
  - Goals
  - Setup
  - Everyone's tasks
  - Deadlines
  - Time investment
  - Topics
  - Criteria, policies
- Capture state/preferences
- How to do well and get the most out of the course
- Get a notion of what PET means



#### How the course works

Exploring privacy topics through research papers



# After completion of the course, the student should be able to:

- identify threats against privacy in an IT system,
- explain and use basic terminology in the area correctly,
- find and use documentation of privacy-related problems and technologies,
- demonstrate an overview of privacy enhancing technologies (PET),
- analyse descriptions of PET systems with regard to their protection of privacy and function,
- identify vulnerabilities from PET system descriptions, predict their equivalent threat, and choose countermeasures against identified threats and show their efficiency,
- compare countermeasures and evaluate their side effects,
- present and explain their reasoning to others,

in order to

- as citizen and expert be able to discuss privacy in general and PET in particular,
- in professional life and/or research projects be able to use existing privacy enhancing technologies and develop their own.



#### Setup

- Some lectures and several seminars
- At each seminar, some participants present a topic relevant to privacy-enhancing technologies, followed by a discussion. The presentation is complemented by a written report. Before each presentation (incl. lectures), there will be a short quiz on the related reading.
- Course responsible teacher: Sonja Buchegger
- Teaching assistant: Md Sakib Nizam Khan



#### Schedule

- Today: intro, topics
- Next few days: pick topic/group
- Next few weeks:
  - Lectures
  - Seminars with student presentations
- Throughout: reading research papers, writing report



#### Tasks

- Form group and pick topic
- Read papers, select papers on your topic for the others to read
- Answer quizzes, design quiz with solutions
- Participate in discussions, prepare discussion
- Present your topic
- Write a report on your topic
- Peer-review
- Revise your report



## Deadlines (within 1 week)

- Form group and pick draft topic (by Monday)
- Read required general papers (before class)
- Read papers/presentation topic (before class)
- Answer quizzes (during class)
- Participate in discussions (during class)
- Select papers on your topic for the others to read (by Monday the week before the presentation)
- Design quiz with solutions (by Monday before your presentation)
- Prepare discussion (design, facilitate, document) (by Monday before your presentation)
- Draft slides



#### Deadlines, ctd.

- Get approval from course responsible for selected papers, quiz questions, discussion prompts according to the deadlines listed with these tasks
- Present your topic (during your seminar)
- Write a report on your topic (deadline depending on your presentation seminar (PS) day: PS1 (Sep 15) PS2 (Sep 22) PS3 (Sep 29) PS4 (Oct 07)
- Peer-review 1 report (Monday after reception)
- Revise your report given feedback (week 7 after topic)



Week 35: lecture	Monday deadlines	class	weeks after topic			
Week 36:: lecture	groups/topics		pres.	report	between	revision
Week 37: lecture						
PS1 week 38	PS1, PS2 slides PS3, PS4 report	PS1 presentation	2	4	. 2	7
PS2 week 39	PS1 on PS3 feedback, PS2 on PS4	PS2 presentation	2	4	. 2	7
PS3 week 40	PS3, PS4 slides, PS1, PS2 report	PS3 presentation	4			
. 23	PS3 on PS1 feedback, PS4 on	PS4 presentation				
PS4 week 41	PS2	1 5 1 presentation	4	2	-2	7
week 43	all final reports					



# Sample time allocation for 7.5 ECTS (assumption: 8 groups)

- 20 h attendance (8h teacher-led, 12h seminars)
- 40 h research on own topic and presentation preparation
- 40 h research on own topic and report writing, revision
- 5 h discussion design and documentation
- 5 h quiz preparation
- 69 h reading (~23 papers, 3 h/paper 8x2 seminars, 2x1 lectures, 5 general)
- 1 h course evaluation
- 6 h peer review
- 2 h planning
- 12 h buffer, independent reading, reflection



#### Attendance policy

- Default: attend every lecture/seminar, pass quizzes
- Deviations and compensation:
  - Fail or miss a quiz -> summarize required reading
  - Miss a lecture -> in addition to quiz compensation, summarize lecture content (if slides available) or read and summarize a paper listed as optional reading
  - Miss a seminar -> in addition to quiz compensation, provide extra reviews for reports on the missed topics
  - Miss a deadline -> DON'T! Others depend on you. Seek help within the group if you struggle, seek help from me if the whole group struggles.



### Grading criteria, rough outline

- Combination of all tasks, building on the goals.
- E: passed all quizzes, completed tasks "adequately" (presentation, report, review, seminar prep: quiz, discussion, papers)
- D: E plus "relevant" active class participation
- C: D plus tasks completed "well" on average
- B: D plus tasks completed "very well" on average
- A: D plus participation and tasks completed "excellently" for most, at least "very well" for some.



## More concretely, what does it mean?

Will post rubrics on canvas.



#### Group v. individual assessment

- Group: report, revision, quiz design, presentation organization, choice of reading, discussion setup
- Individual: quiz response, discussion participation/facilitation, presentation part, peer review



# Privacy applied to this course, expected value of visibility (concluded from mentimeter)

- video on in breakout room for almost everyone,
- video on for most, profile pic up for some, none for some in unrecorded zoom
- everyone according to comfort in recorded zoom (only course participants have access), breakout rooms are never recorded
- recording of presentations shared with course participants for most (Sonja will check with each group before posting anything)



# Topics (preliminary selection)

#### Suggest others if you like!

- Communications:
  - Anonymous routing (e.g.Tor)
  - Mixnets
  - Censorship resistance
  - Traffic analysis
  - Location privacy
- Data protection
  - Differential privacy
  - Anonymity/de-anonymization
  - Usage control
  - Machine learning/AI privacy
  - Privacy by Design

- Private computation
  - Secure multi-party computation
  - Homomorphic encryption
- Private information retrieval
  - PIR
  - ORAM
- Zero-knowledge proofs (e.g. anonymous attribute-based credentials)
- Transparency-enhancing tools (TETs)
- PETs and usability



# Topics (preliminary selection)

- Some application domains:
  - IoT privacy
  - Healthcare privacy
  - Big data privacy
  - Cryptocurrencies
  - Electronic voting
  - Democracy



### Context for the topic

- Frame your report/presentation by a research question to help you
  - focus your literature search
  - get a perspective to narrow down the topic



# Relative importance for allocation (concluded from mentimeter)

- 1 presentation slot
- 2 group members
- 3 topics
- Will post a structured page on Canvas that you can edit to state your constraints and preferences, structured according to the ranking above.



#### Getting started:

- Get some idea of what the topics mean
- Suggest own if not listed
- Use discussion space on Canvas, can still add to today's mentimeter for almost 2 days
- Fill in Canvas page at the latest on Monday (link will be announced once set up)



#### Getting started, ctd.

Reading for next week (there will be a quiz on both):

- I've got nothing to hide and other misunderstandings of privacy. Daniel Solove
- Course information (upcoming on canvas)



#### Course board members

- 2 students to discuss course with, during and after evaluation
- Volunteers?



# How to do well and get the most out of the course



#### First, the obvious

#### Be thorough

- Follow instructions
- Make a plan, start early, build in buffers
- Engage with the material
- Seek out additional information
- Cooperate, discuss
- Pay attention to the news, reflect
- Pull your weight and make sure others do
- Actively participate



#### Each group is in charge

Of the quality of their own seminar

- Quiz questions
- Selected papers
- Presentation
- Discussion questions
- Liveliness and relevance of discussion
- Enabling many voices, perspectives
- Written output quality: Report, feedback, discussion documentation, revision



#### Discussion rules

- Do contribute
- Do not monopolize
- Seek balance for critical responses (somewhere between uncritical harmony and relentless critique and questioning)



# Instructions to follow (in assignments)

- Timing
- Specifications for
  - Report
  - Presentation
  - Review
  - Quiz
  - Discussion
  - Revision
- Logistics
- Literature