SF 1684 Algebra and Geometry Lecture 12

Patrick Meisner

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

- Bases and Dimension
- Ø Building Bases out of Linearly Independent Sets
- Building Bases out of Spanning Sets

For any set of vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$, we define

span
$$\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\} = \{t_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + t_k \vec{v}_k : t_1, \ldots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any set of vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$, we define

span
$$\{\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_k\} = \{t_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + t_k \vec{v}_k : t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any vector space, V, we say that $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a spanning set of V if

$$V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}\}$$

For any set of vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$, we define

span
$$\{\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_k\} = \{t_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + t_k \vec{v}_k : t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any vector space, V, we say that $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a spanning set of V if

$$V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}\}$$

We may also say that the set of $\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}\}$ spans *V*.

For any set of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$, we define

span
$$\{\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_k\} = \{t_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + t_k \vec{v}_k : t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any vector space, V, we say that $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a spanning set of V if

$$V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}\}$$

We may also say that the set of $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ spans *V*.

Moreover, we say that $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$ are linearly independent if none of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others

For any set of vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$, we define

span
$$\{\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_k\} = \{t_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + t_k \vec{v}_k : t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For any vector space, V, we say that $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a spanning set of V if

$$V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}\}$$

We may also say that the set of $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ spans *V*.

Moreover, we say that $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$ are linearly independent if none of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others

Finally, we know this is equivalent to saying that every vector in the span of $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination of the \vec{v}_i .

We say that a set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a **basis** for a vector space V if it is **linearly independent** and **spans** V.

We say that a set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a **basis** for a vector space V if it is **linearly independent** and **spans** V.

That is, a set of vectors is a basis for a vector space if every vector in the space can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination of the vectors.

Spanning set lin ind

We say that a set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a **basis** for a vector space V if it is **linearly independent** and **spans** V.

That is, a set of vectors is a basis for a vector space if every vector in the space can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination of the vectors.

Example:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ is a basis for } \mathbb{R}^3$$

We say that a set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a **basis** for a vector space V if it is **linearly independent** and **spans** V.

That is, a set of vectors is a basis for a vector space if every vector in the space can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination of the vectors.

Example:

Theorem

then n vectors. let JeV $\vec{V}_{l} \notin \vec{O}$, span $(\vec{V}_{l}) = \langle EV_{l}; t \in \mathbb{R} \rangle$ Proofi 1 is a subspace of the SVil is a bajis $1 \neq V = Span(\tilde{V}_{i})$ IF $V \neq Span(V,)$ for there with V_{LGV} such that $V_{i} \notin Span(V,)$ the necessarily, VIEV on lin independent. the SV, V2 is a basis. $F = \text{span}(V_1, V_2)$ If N & Span (V, VI) the Find VI, --We know that day set of Atl vectors will be linearly leperdent. So this proses stors eventually. Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 12 5/25

We saw in the proof that if we have a set of linearly independent vectors, then we can systematically add in vectors that are not already in the span to form a basis.

We saw in the proof that if we have a set of linearly independent vectors, then we can systematically add in vectors that are not already in the span to form a basis.



We saw in the proof that if we have a set of linearly independent vectors, then we can systematically add in vectors that are not already in the span to form a basis.



First, we note that the two vectors are linearly independent so this is good. So, we need to find a vector that is not in the span.

A vector \vec{b} will be in the span if and only if there is a t_1, t_2 such that

$$t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\\b_2\\b_3\\b_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

A vector \vec{b} will be in the span if and only if there is a t_1, t_2 such that

$$t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\\b_2\\b_3\\b_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

if and only the augmented matrix $(A|\vec{b})$ is consistent where the columns of A are the vectors of our set.

A vector \vec{b} will be in the span if and only if there is a t_1, t_2 such that

$$t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix} + t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\\b_2\\b_3\\b_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

if and only the augmented matrix $(A|\vec{b})$ is consistent where the columns of A are the vectors of our set. Partially row reducing the augmented matrix we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & b_1 \\ 2 & 6 & b_2 \\ 3 & 7 & b_3 \\ 4 & 8 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

A vector \vec{b} will be in the span if and only if there is a t_1, t_2 such that

$$t_1\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\3\\4\end{bmatrix}+t_2\begin{bmatrix}5\\6\\7\\8\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}b_1\\b_2\\b_3\\b_4\end{bmatrix}$$

if and only the augmented matrix $(A|\vec{b})$ is consistent where the columns of A are the vectors of our set. Partially row reducing the augmented matrix we find $\nabla_A \ell = \sqrt{2}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & b_1 \\ 2 & 6 & b_2 \\ 3 & 7 & b_3 \\ 4 & 8 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & b_1 \\ 0 & -4 & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 - 2b_2 + b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 - 3b_2 + 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \approx \mathcal{C}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ but not } \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\1\\12 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence to find something **not** in the span, it is enough to find some \vec{b} such that either $b_3 - 2b_2 + b_1 \neq 0$ or $b_4 - 3b_2 + 2b_1 \neq 0$. So any of

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\11\\13 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ but not } \begin{bmatrix} 9\\10\\1\\1\\12 \end{bmatrix}$$

Do the same process but now with the set

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8\\5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

We see that, for example

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{A} = \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} \right) \\ \end{array}\right) & \text{Find } \mathbf{b} \\
\text{Set } (\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{b}) \\
\text{Set } (\mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf$$

We see that, for example

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{cases} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{cases} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5 \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{cases}$$

is a linearly independent set.

And so

Finally, we see that since the columns are linearly independent, the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible.

Finally, we see that since the columns are linearly independent, the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Therefore, for ever $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^4$, there is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

Finally, we see that since the columns are linearly independent, the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 8 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Therefore, for ever $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^4$, there is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. In other words every vector in \mathbb{R}^4 can be written as a linear combination of the vectors and so

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5\\6\\7\\8\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\9\\4\\5\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0\end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

spans \mathbb{R}^4 and is a basis.

We can also go backwards. Suppose we have a linearly dependent set of vectors. Then we can systemically remove them to find a basis for the span of the vectors.

We can also go backwards. Suppose we have a linearly dependent set of vectors. Then we can systemically remove them to find a basis for the span of the vectors.



We can also go backwards. Suppose we have a linearly dependent set of vectors. Then we can systemically remove them to find a basis for the span of the vectors.



First we check to see if they are linearly independent

To do this, we put the vectors in a matrix and row reduce

$$\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 & 9 & 6 \\ 5 & 3 & 11 & 2 \\ 7 & 2 & 11 & 5 \\ 7 & -2 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
To do this, we put the vectors in a matrix and row reduce

Hence, we see that

$$\operatorname{K}\begin{bmatrix}c_1\\c_2\\c_3\\c_4\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-t-s\\-2t+s\\t\\s\end{bmatrix}$$

To do this, we put the vectors in a matrix and row reduce

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -t-s \\ -2t+s \\ t \\ s \end{bmatrix} \implies c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_4 \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

Setting t = 1, s = 0 we get $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = -2$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 0$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 9\\11\\11\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\bigvee_{j} \Rightarrow \forall_{\zeta} & \xi & \xi \neq \xi$$

Setting t = 1, s = 0 we get $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = -2$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 0$ and

 $\begin{bmatrix} 9\\11\\11\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$

Hence, we may remove this vector without affecting the span.

Setting t = 1, s = 0 we get $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = -2$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 0$ and

 $\begin{bmatrix} 9\\11\\11\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$

Hence, we may remove this vector without affecting the span. Further, setting t = 0 and s = 1, we get $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 0$, $c_4 = 0$ and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6\\2\\5\\9\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\overrightarrow{\bigvee_{q}} = \overleftarrow{\bigvee_{q}} - \overleftarrow{\bigvee_{q}}$$

Setting t = 1, s = 0 we get $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = -2$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 0$ and (f ve have many free variably $\begin{bmatrix} 9\\11\\11\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ Setting b_1c_2 , b_2c_1 , b_3c_5 , b_4c_5 , $b_5 = -6c_5$,

Hence we may remove this vector as well.

Patrick Meisner (KTH)

Thus we may conclude that

$$\bigvee \succ \quad \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9\\11\\11\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6\\2\\5\\9\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 7\\5\\7\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \rightleftharpoons \bigvee$$

we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{G}} \\
& \bigcup \\
&$$

Moreover, the latter two are linearly independent and so form a basis.

Thus

Size of Basis

Theorem

Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Then if $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ and $\{\vec{w}_1, \ldots, \vec{w}_m\}$ are two bases for V then k = m. That is, the size of the basis is always the same.



For any subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n , we define the **dimension of** V to be the number of vectors in any basis. We typically denote it dim(V).

For any subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n , we define the **dimension of** V to be the number of vectors in any basis. We typically denote it dim(V).

Examples:

dim $(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ since $\vec{e_1}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$ always forms a basis

For any subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n , we define the **dimension of** V to be the number of vectors in any basis. We typically denote it dim(V).

Examples:

 $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ since $\vec{e_1}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$ always forms a basis

If $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$, then dim $(V) \le k$. If the $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}$ are linearly independent then dim(V) = k.

For any subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n , we define the **dimension of** V to be the number of vectors in any basis. We typically denote it dim(V).

Examples:

 $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ since $\vec{e_1}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$ always forms a basis

If $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$, then dim $(V) \le k$. If the $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}$ are linearly independent then dim(V) = k.

If L is a line, then dim(L) = 1, since $L = \{t\vec{v} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v}\}.$

For any subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n , we define the **dimension of** V to be the number of vectors in any basis. We typically denote it dim(V).

Examples:

 $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ since $\vec{e_1}, \ldots, \vec{e_n}$ always forms a basis

If $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$, then dim $(V) \le k$. If the $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}$ are linearly independent then dim(V) = k.

If L is a line, then dim(L) = 1, since $L = \{t\vec{v} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{v}\}.$

Similarly, if P is a plane, then $\dim(P) = 2$.

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$?

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent.

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent. So the set $\{\vec{0}\}$ is *not* a basis for the zero-subspace.

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent. So the set $\{\vec{0}\}$ is *not* a basis for the zero-subspace.

As we saw, if we have a linearly dependent spanning set, we can remove some vectors and get a linearly independent spanning set, i.e. a basis.

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent. So the set $\{\vec{0}\}$ is *not* a basis for the zero-subspace.

As we saw, if we have a linearly dependent spanning set, we can remove some vectors and get a linearly independent spanning set, i.e. a basis.

So, what can we remove from the spanning set $\{\vec{0}\}$ and what is left?

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent. So the set $\{\vec{0}\}$ is *not* a basis for the zero-subspace.

As we saw, if we have a linearly dependent spanning set, we can remove some vectors and get a linearly independent spanning set, i.e. a basis.

So, what can we remove from the spanning set $\{\vec{0}\}$ and what is left? We remove $\{\vec{0}\}$ and we are left with the **empty set** \emptyset .

What is the basis for the zero-subspace, $V = {\vec{0}}$? First, we need a spanning set of vectors for ${\vec{0}}$:

 $V = \operatorname{span}\{\vec{0}\}$

However, we have already seen that no set involving $\vec{0}$ can be linearly independent. So the set $\{\vec{0}\}$ is *not* a basis for the zero-subspace.

As we saw, if we have a linearly dependent spanning set, we can remove some vectors and get a linearly independent spanning set, i.e. a basis.

So, what can we remove from the spanning set $\{\vec{0}\}$ and what is left? We remove $\{\vec{0}\}$ and we are left with the **empty set** \emptyset .

Definition

The basis of the zero subspace is the empty set.

Dimension of Zero Subspace

What is the dimension of the zero subspace?

What is the dimension of the zero subspace? The dimension of any subspace is the size of it's basis.

So, what is the size of the empty set?

So, what is the size of the empty set? 0

So, what is the size of the empty set? 0

Definition $dim(\{\vec{0}\}) = 0$

So, what is the size of the empty set? 0

Definition $dim(\{\vec{0}\}) = 0$

Theorem

If V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n then dim(V) = 0 if and only if V is the zero subspace.

Dimension of Null Space

Recall that to find the subspace of homogeneous solutions (or null space) of a matrix A, we use Gauss-Jordan elimination and then find vectors so that the solution space is of the form span $\{\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ where k is the number of free variables.

$$A: \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} \cdots & q_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ c_{m1} & q_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{PPGF} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\$$

Exercise

Show that if we obtain these vectors from the RREF of A, then they are linearly independent.

Exercise

Show that if we obtain these vectors from the RREF of A, then they are linearly independent.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\{\vec{v_1},\ldots,\vec{v_k}\}$ is a basis for the null space of A

Exercise

Show that if we obtain these vectors from the RREF of A, then they are linearly independent.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\{\vec{v_1},\ldots,\vec{v_k}\}$ is a basis for the null space of A and hence

dim(null(A)) = k = number of free of variables = Nk(A)

Exercise

Show that if we obtain these vectors from the RREF of A, then they are linearly independent.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\{\vec{v_1},\ldots,\vec{v_k}\}$ is a basis for the null space of A and hence

dim(null(A)) = k = number of free of variables $\sim \sqrt{k}(4)$

Moreover, if $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ are obtained from the RREF of A, then we call this the **canonical basis** for the null space of A.

Theorem

C

If V is a non-zero subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then dim(V) is the maximum number of linearly independent vectors in V.

Recall, we already showed that any set of strictly more than n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n will be linearly dependent. This is due to the fact that dim $(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$.
Theorem

Let V and W be subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . If V is a subspace of W, then very important $0 \leq \dim(V) \leq \dim(W) \leq n$ $V = W \text{ if and only if } \dim(V) = \dim(W).$ E Meccosony clou prat. () let I have been basis for V. The in particular, Then had is a lin ind set in W. So ve can exp and this to a basis for W. I so din W 2 k= din V (2) (=>) if V=w the dime V= din w (A) If din V= din W. Then if Sh. ba) is a basis for V. the it is a li-independent subject in W. So ve can exp and to a basis. However, since dim we dim ve k, ve know that the expansion process value stop immediately. Hence U: sparith..., he) = V.

Theorem

Theorem

Let V be a k-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

- Any set of k linearly independent vectors of V is a basis for V (in particular, they span V)
- Any set of k vectors that span V is a basis for V (in particular, they are linearly independent)
- **③** Any set of strictly fewer than k vectors of V cannot span 🏙 \cup
- Any set of more than k vectors of V cannot be linearly independent

First theorem

provide (VI... V.e.) he a set of linearly in dependent
vectors in V. W= span (VI... V.e.). We Know that
$$W \subseteq V$$
 & dim W= $L = din V$
by previous that: $W \equiv V$ & so $V \equiv span(V... V.e.)$
 $v_{V} = V$ & so $V \equiv span(V... V.e.)$

Proof

(2) but Vi... Vic be a set of vice tory of V Such that V= Spin (Vi- VK). Suppose that N. VK is not in rud. Her we can remain some at the vis and not thorage the spon. That is we could say V= spen (Vi... Vur) >> din V < k-1 which contradict the assumption that din V= b. 3 Suppose View Vn spon V with M K. I can remove some to form a basis for V Tter But this implies that din V = m < k while contradicts the assocration that dim V=k

● A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they are a basis for ℝⁿ

- A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they are a basis for ℝⁿ
- **2** A set of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n spans \mathbb{R}^n if and only if they are a basis for \mathbb{R}^n

- A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they are a basis for ℝⁿ
- **2** A set of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n spans \mathbb{R}^n if and only if they are a basis for \mathbb{R}^n
- Solution A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they span ℝⁿ

- A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they are a basis for ℝⁿ
- **2** A set of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n spans \mathbb{R}^n if and only if they are a basis for \mathbb{R}^n
- Solution A set of n vectors in ℝⁿ is linearly independent if and only if they span ℝⁿ

Proof.

Follows immediately from the previous theorem and the fact that $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$.

Theorem

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- 2 $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- 3 det $(A) \neq 0$
- 0 is not an eigenvalue of A

Theorem

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- **2** $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- 3 det $(A) \neq 0$
- O is not an eigenvalue of A



- T_A is one-to-one
- \bigcirc T_A is onto

Theorem

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- **2** $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- 3 det $(A) \neq 0$
- O is not an eigenvalue of A



- T_A is one-to-one
- \bigcirc T_A is onto
 -) The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- **2** $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- det $(A) \neq 0$
- O is not an eigenvalue of A



- T_A is one-to-one
- \bigcirc T_A is onto
- If the columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n
- ${}^{\textcircled{0}}$ The rows of A span \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The the following are equivalent

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- **2** $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- det $(A) \neq 0$
- 0 is not an eigenvalue of A



 \bigcirc T_A is invertible

- \bigcirc T_A is onto
- If the columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n
- ${}^{\textcircled{0}}$ The rows of A span \mathbb{R}^n
- ¹⁹ The columns of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem

- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- **2** $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- rk(A) = n
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The rows of A are linearly independent
- det $(A) \neq 0$
- O is not an eigenvalue of A



- T_A is one-to-one
- T_A is onto
- ${}^{\textcircled{0}}$ The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n
- The rows of A span \mathbb{R}^n
- The columns of A form a basis for Rⁿ
- The rows of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n