SF 1684 Algebra and Geometry Lecture 10

Patrick Meisner

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Topics for Today

- Linear Transformations
- ② Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors
- Orthogonal Transformations

Linear Transformation

Definition

A function $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ s called a **linear transformation** (or **linear map**) if for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

- $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$
- $T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x})$

Linear Transformation

Definition

A function $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ s called a **linear transformation** (or **linear map**) if for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

- $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$
- $T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x})$

In general we can define linear transformation between any two vector space V and W in the same way.

Definition

A function $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ s called a **linear transformation** (or **linear map**) if for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

- $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$
- $T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x})$

In general we can define linear transformation between any two vector space V and W in the same way. Then we can think of linear transformation as functions that "preserving the linear structure of V in W".

Some Linear Transformations

$$T \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x + 5y \\ 2x - 3y \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Some Linear Transformations

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x + 5y \\ 2x - 3y \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2 Rotating each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 by $\pi/2$
- **3** Reflecting each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 in the line y = x
- Projecting the vectors onto the x-axis
- "Strecthing" by a factor of 2 in the x-direction

Linear transformations come in four basic categories:

1 Rotation about the origin

- Rotation about the origin
- 2 Reflection about a line

- Rotation about the origin
- 2 Reflection about a line
- Projection onto a line

- Rotation about the origin
- Reflection about a line
- Projection onto a line
- Stretching in the direction of a line

Linear transformations come in four basic categories:

- Rotation about the origin
- Reflection about a line
- Projection onto a line
- Stretching in the direction of a line

Fact

All linear transformations can be broken up into components coming from these four basic categories.

Properties of Linear Tansformations

Theorem

Let T be any linear transformation. Then

- $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$
- $T(-\vec{v}) = -T(\vec{v})$
- $T(\vec{u} \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u} \vec{v})$

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the linear transformation we get from A will be

$$T_A\left(\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the linear transformation we get from A will be

$$T_A\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the linear transformation we get from A will be

$$T_A \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + 5y \\ 2x - 3y \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 7 / 27

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the linear transformation we get from A will be

$$T_A \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + 5y \\ 2x - 3y \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice: this is the same linear transformation as the example on slide 4.

Any $m \times n$ matrix, A, can define a linear transformation, T, from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m by setting

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

We will typically denote this transformation as T_A .

For example: let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the linear transformation we get from A will be

$$T_A\left(\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 5\\2 & -3\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}x+5y\\2x-3y\\y\end{bmatrix}$$

Notice: this is the same linear transformation as the example on slide 4. Can we always find a matrix that defines the linear transformation?

Linear Transformations as Matrices

Theorem

Let T be any linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n .

Linear Transformations as Matrices

Theorem

Let T be any linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n . Define the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} T(\vec{e_1}) & T(\vec{e_2}) & \dots & T(\vec{e_m}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear Transformations as Matrices

Theorem

Let T be any linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n . Define the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} T(\vec{e}_1) & T(\vec{e}_2) & \dots & T(\vec{e}_m) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$
 (or $T = T_A$)

This matrix A is often called the **standard matrix of** T.

Exercise

Find the matrices that correspond to the linear transformations

- **1** Rotating each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 by $\pi/2$
- ② Reflecting each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 in the line y = x
- Projecting the vectors onto the x-axis
- Stretching by a factor of 2 in the x-direction

More Work Space

Exercise

Find the matrix that corresponds to the linear transformation of rotating each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 by an angle θ .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well.

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the linear transformation that corresponds to D would be

$$T_D \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 12 / 27

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the linear transformation that corresponds to *D* would be

$$T_D \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 12 / 27

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the linear transformation that corresponds to *D* would be

$$T_{D}\left(\begin{bmatrix}x_{1}\\x_{2}\\\vdots\\x_{n}\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{d_{1}}{0} & 0 & \dots & 0\\\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\0 & 0 & \dots & d_{n}\end{pmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\underline{x_{1}}\\x_{2}\\\vdots\\x_{n}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\underline{d_{1}x_{1}}\\\overline{d_{2}x_{2}}\\\vdots\\\underline{d_{n}x_{n}}\end{bmatrix}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 12 / 27

Similar to how diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest matrices, the transformation that correspond to diagonal matrices are the simplest and easiest as well. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the linear transformation that corresponds to D would be

$$T_D \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{d_1} x_1 \\ \underline{d_2} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{d_n} x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

In particular, we see that $T_{I_n}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$ for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $\exists_n , d_{c'z}$

Simplest Action of a Linear Transformation

In particular, we note that

 $T_D(\vec{e_i})$

Simplest Action of a Linear Transformation

In particular, we note that

$$T_D(\vec{e_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 13 / 27

In particular, we note that

$$T_D(\vec{e_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ d_i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = d_i \vec{e_i}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 13 / 27

In particular, we note that

$$T_D(\vec{e_i}) = egin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 0 \ dots \ 1 \ dots \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ dots \ d_i \ dots \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = d_i \vec{e_i}$$

That is, we see that T_D acts on $\vec{e_i}$ in the simplest way it can:

Lecture 10 13 / 27

In particular, we note that

$$T_D(\vec{e_i}) = egin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ d_i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = d_i \vec{e_i}$$

That is, we see that T_D acts on $\vec{e_i}$ in the simplest way it can: by just multiplying by d_i .

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 13 / 27

In particular, we note that

$$T_D(\vec{e_i}) = egin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ d_i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = d_i \vec{e_i}$$

That is, we see that T_D acts on $\vec{e_i}$ in the simplest way it can: by just multiplying by d_i .

Can this be extended too other matrices?

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 13/27

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that

$$\underbrace{A\vec{v}}_{} = \lambda \vec{v}.$$

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that $\searrow \chi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Metrix \vec{r} \vec{r} Scalar

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Moreover, we call such an \vec{v} an **eigenvector** of A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Moreover, we call such an \vec{v} an **eigenvector** of A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Moreover, we call such an \vec{v} an **eigenvector** of A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Moreover, we call such an \vec{v} an **eigenvector** of A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And so we say that 2 is an eigenvalue of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition

For any $n \times n$ matrix, A, we define λ to be an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists an non-zero vector \vec{v} such that $A \approx 28$

$$A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$$
.

Moreover, we call such an \vec{v} an **eigenvector** of A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And so we say that 2 is an **eigenvalue** of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ with **eigenvector** $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Recall we stated that linear transformation have 4 basic forms

- Rotation
- Reflection about a line
- Projection onto a line
- Stretching in the direction of a line

Lecture 10 15 / 27

Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Recall we stated that linear transformation have 4 basic forms

- O Rotation
- Reflection about a line
- Projection onto a line
- Stretching in the direction of a line

Therefore, if \vec{v} is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalues λ , then we can think of the linear transformation T_A , that corresponds by A, has a component corresponding to *stretching by a factor of* λ *in the direction of* \vec{v} .

Vis a eigenvalue at A with eigenvalue A $T_A(v) = Av$. V gets street chall by a factor of A.

Condition for Eigenvalues 1

Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then λ is an eigenvalue of A if and only if the matrix

$$A - \lambda I_n$$

has a non-trivial homogeneous solution. Moreover, all non-trivial homogeneous solutions to $A - \lambda I_n$ will be eigenvectors of A with eigenvalue λ .

Condition for Eigenvalues 2

Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following are equivalent

- \bullet λ is an eigenvalue of A
- ② $A \lambda I_n$ has a non-trivial homogeneous solution
- **3** $A \lambda I_n$ is not invertible

we've see that A-AIn has non-torral home sortion

A-AIn not invertible

60 dd A- AIN =0

paynomial in A of de N A seigenvalue will be the patronial.

17 / 27

Major Theorem

Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The the following are equivalent

- **1** $\vec{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- ② $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The row vectors of A are linearly independent

Major Theorem

Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The the following are equivalent

- ① $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every \vec{b}
- 2 $A\vec{x} = 0$ has a unique solution
- The RREF of A is I_n
- A is invertible
- The columns of A are linearly independent
- The row vectors of A are linearly independent
- 0 is not an eigenvalue of A

Example

Find the eigenvalues of eigenvectors of

Find
$$\lambda$$
 such that $\Delta t(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$

$$A - \lambda I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ -6 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ -6 & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta t(A - \lambda I_n) = \Delta t \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 5 \\ -\delta & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

More Work Space

previous examb re sur
$$\binom{01}{5}\binom{1}{2}$$
: $J(t)$
so (a) is eigenvector with eigenvecte 2.

$$A-2m^{2}\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-6&3\end{pmatrix}-\begin{pmatrix}2&0\\0&3\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-3&1\\-6&2\end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_{2}-2R_{1}\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

$$C$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -15 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x - 1/2y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x - 1/2y \\ y - t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\left(x\right) = \left(\frac{1}{3} + \right) = t \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{t} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + is \quad \text{on eigenvector}}{t} = \frac{t}{3} = \frac{$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 20/2

We have seen that eigenvalues can describe the component of a linear operation that corresponds to stretching in a direction.

We have seen that eigenvalues can describe the component of a linear operation that corresponds to stretching in a direction. But what about the other components?

We have seen that eigenvalues can describe the component of a linear operation that corresponds to stretching in a direction. But what about the other components?

Definition

We say a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is **orthogonal** if

$$||T(\vec{x})|| = ||\vec{x}||$$

for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We have seen that eigenvalues can describe the component of a linear operation that corresponds to stretching in a direction. But what about the other components?

Definition

We say a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is **orthogonal** if

$$||T(\vec{x})|| = ||\vec{x}||$$

for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We sometimes call this property norm preserving

Dot-Product Preserving

Theorem

A linear transformation, $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, is orthogonal if and only if $T(\vec{x}) \cdot T(\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$ for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Hence, we sometimes call orthogonal transformations *dot-product preserving*.

$$|\{\xi \mid \xi(\vec{k}) \cdot \xi(\vec{k}) = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} \}$$

$$|\{\xi \mid \xi(\vec{k}) \cdot \xi(\vec{k}) = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} \}$$

$$|\{\xi \mid \xi(\vec{k}) \mid \xi(\vec{k}) = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} \}$$

$$|\{\xi \mid \xi(\vec{k}) \mid \xi(\vec{k}) \mid \xi(\vec{k}) = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} \}$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 22 / 27

Examples of Orthogonal Transformation

For any θ , the linear transformation given by $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

= ((Cox 0)x - (210)x) 2 + (E)u cox+(coxo)x) 2 = = 2/4/1

Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent

A is orthogonal

Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

- A is orthogonal

Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

- A is orthogonal
- $(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \text{ for all } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Men for
$$T(x) = 4x$$
to be cuttograph

Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

- A is orthogonal

- $A^T A = I_n$

Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

- A is orthogonal
- **3** $(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$ for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$







Definition

We say a square matrix A is **orthogonal** if the linear transformation $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal.

Theorem

- A is orthogonal
- $||A\vec{x}|| = ||\vec{x}||$ for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} for all \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $A^{T}A = I_{n}$ $A^{T}A = A^{-1}$
- $\sqrt{9}$ Any two column vectors of A are orthogonal and unit vectors

Sketch of Proof

Properties of Orthogonal Matrices 1

Theorem

If A is an orthogonal matrix that det(A) = 1 or -1.

AT
$$A = In$$
 so taking old ominants
 $cut(ATA) = ut(A) = 1$

$$dt(AT) dt(A) = dt(A) - dt(A) = dt(A)^2 = 1$$

$$= ut(A) = (vr - (vr))$$

Patrick Meisner (KTH) Lecture 10 26 / 27

Properties of Orthogonal Matrices 2

Theorem

- 1 The product of two orthogonal matrices is orthogonal
- 2 The inverse of an orthogonal matrix is orthogonal
- The transpose of an orthogonal matrix is orthogonal
- A is orthogonal if and only if it's row vectors are orthonormal